

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/480,837	01/10/2000	STEPHAN GEHRING	INT-99-009	4824
44279	7590 07/24/2006		EXAMINER	
PULSE-LINK, INC. 1969 KELLOGG AVENUE			SHAH, CHIRAG G	
CARLSBAD, CA 92008			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			DATE MAILED: 07/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			St
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/480,837	GEHRING ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chirag G. Shah	2616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become Af	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 J 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the second se	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal mat		ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyantion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	e
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTQ/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	——————————————————————————————————————	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 6/16/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues with respect to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph as failing to comply with the enablement requirement that based on a network of master and slave devices communicating using UWB signals transmitting a TDMA protocol as illustrated and described in the specification, one skilled in the UWB art could develop and ultra wideband network employing TDMA frames or protocol. Applicant points to the amended page 8 for support. Examiner respectfully disagrees for several reasons. First of the support as alleged on page 8 by the Applicant does not suggest enablement. Based on the alleged support provided, how do you implement UWB network employing TDMA frames. The mere term "could develop" doesn't make it possible to develop ultra wide band network employing TDMA frames. Merely disclosing "Such an illustrative wireless network is synchronous wireless network comprising a plurality of transceiver devices transmitting and receiving pulses using a baseband or "ultra wide band" transport" does not suggest of implementation of the communication using TDMA frame comprising multiplicity of ultra wideband signals. Thus, claims 1-20 remains rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement.

2. Applicant argues that claims 1, 9, and 20 is directed to claim a network of communication devices, which is a machine, and machines are one of the enumerated statutory invention categories defined in 35 USC 101. Examiner respectfully directs applicant to claims 1,

9, and 20, where no transmission or reception among a master device and plurality of slave devices takes place. Furthermore, merely reciting a multiplicity of ultra wide band signal encoded with function descriptive matter such as a network does not fall within any of the categories of patentable subject matter set forth in the 101 Interim Guidelines for statutory subject matter.

- 3. Applicant argues that the amended claim 14 now positively recite that the computer program is embodied on a computer readable medium. Examiner respectfully disagrees; nowhere in the claim does it state the language or the equivalent of a "computer program" embodied on a computer readable medium executed by a computer processor". Thus, claim 14 respectfully remains rejected using 101.
- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 9, 14 and 20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The amended specification and the existing specification does not enable one skilled in the art to make and/or use the invention of

an ultra wide band network using a TDMA frame format. How does ultra wide band network enable TDMA? In other words, the specification does not describe in such a way to enable how the ultra wide band network implements the TDMA.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

7. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

8. Claims 1, 9, 14, and 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Regarding claims 1, 9 and 20, Under the Interim Guideline for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility, the claims seek for patent protection of a signal. Moreover, it does not appear that claims reciting a multiplicity of ultra wide band signal encoded with functional descriptive material falls within any of the categories of patentable subject matter set forth in § 101.

Regarding claim 14, Under the Interim Guideline for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility, the claims seek for patent protection of a signal. Moreover, it does not appear that claims reciting a multiplicity of ultra wide band signal encoded with functional descriptive material falls within any of the categories of patentable subject matter set forth in § 101. In addition, according to ANNEX IV-Computer Readable Nonstatutory Subject Matter section of the Interim Guideline for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility, the computer program is not embodied or encoded on a computer read-able medium.

Application/Control Number: 09/480,837

Art Unit: 2616

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aiello et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,275,544) in view of Hulyalkar (U.S. Patent No. 6,347,084).

Regarding claim 1, 9 and 20, Aiello discloses in fig. 1 and col. 7, lines 47-67 of an ultra wide band network, comprising:

a master device [device 12a, fig. 1 and col. 5, lines 45-61] and a plurality of slave devices [12b and 12c, see fig. 1 and col. 5, lines 45-61] in network communication with said master device, the communication using a Time Division Multiple Access frame comprising a multiplicity of ultra wide band signals [the master transceiver performs data transmission between several node devices via a MAC protocol utilizing a TDMA fame definition.

Under the TDMA architecture, the data transmitted as short RF pulses divided into discrete data frames; see col. 7, lines 47-67];

a Medium Access Control layer protocol for transmission and reception of network packets [see col. 7, lines 47-67], comprising:

a Time Division Multiple Access frame definition [see fig. 3 and col. 7, lines 47-55] having a start-of-frame section [SOF, see col. 7, lines 65-67], a command section [command slot 42, see fig. 3], a data slot section containing a plurality of variable length slots [see col. 8,

Application/Control Number: 09/480,837

Art Unit: 2616

lines 45-59 and fig. 3], a synchronization slot [master sync code 46, see col. 8, lines 1-21 and fig. 3].

Aiello fails to disclose of a TDMA frame including a timestamp slot. Hulyalkar teaches a method of timestamp synchronization that includes a control node (master device) and a plurality of other nodes (slave devices) that are in communication with one another mediated by a MAC subsystem that uses a reservation-based TDMA protocol. Hulyalkar discloses in col. 5, lines 5-17 and col. 9, lines 41-65 and respective portion of the specification to include a control node sending a preset command to slave nodes and it presets their respective timestamp to the prescribed timestamp value. Thus, having a timeslot within a TDMA frame. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of a timestamp timeslot within a TDMA frame as taught by Hulyalkar into Aiello invention. One is motivated as such in order to enable permit precise, deterministic scheduling with reduction in delay and processing time for a reservation-based TDMA protocol.

Regarding claim 14, Aiello discloses in col. 7, lines 47-67 and fig. 1 a computer program product [framing control function in the master transceiver device 12a] for scheduling the assignment of variable length data slots in a network system having a master device and a plurality of slave devices in network communication with said master device the network communication using a Time Division Multiple Access frame comprising a multiplicity of ultra wide band signals [the master transceiver performs data transmission between several node devices via a MAC protocol utilizing a TDMA fame definition. Under the TDMA

Art Unit: 2616

architecture, the data transmitted as short RF pulses divided into discrete data frames; see col. 7, lines 47-67], comprising:

providing a Time Division Multiple Access frame definition comprising a synchronization slot and a data slot section having a plurality of variable-length data slots [a Time Division Multiple Access frame definition (see fig. 3 and col. 7, lines 47-55) having a start-of-frame section (SOF, see col. 7, lines 65-67), command slot 42, see fig. 3, a data slot section containing a plurality of variable length slots (see col. 8, lines 45-59 and fig. 3)], a synchronization slot (master sync code 46, see col. 8, lines 1-21 and fig. 3)]; and

determining a schedule time to communicate the assignment and reallocation of said variable-length data slots to each of said slave devices [see col. 8, lines 1-21 and 45-60].

Aiello fails to disclose of a TDMA frame including a timestamp slot. Hulyalkar teaches a method of timestamp synchronization that includes a control node (master device) and a plurality of other nodes (slave devices) that are in communication with one another mediated by a MAC subsystem that uses a reservation-based TDMA protocol. Hulyalkar discloses in col. 5, lines 5-17 and col. 9, lines 41-65 and respective portion of the specification to include a control node sending a preset command to slave nodes and it presets their respective timestamp to the prescribed timestamp value. Thus, having a timeslot within a TDMA frame. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of a timestamp timeslot within a TDMA frame as taught by Hulyalkar into Aiello invention. One is motivated as such in order to enable permit precise, deterministic scheduling with reduction in delay and processing time for a reservation-based TDMA protocol.

Regarding claims 2-4, Aiello discloses in col. 7, lines 47-67 and in col. 8, lines 45-60wherein the MAC layer protocol is configured to implement dynamic requisition, allocation, and reallocation of variable length data slots within the frame.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chirag G. Shah whose telephone number is 571-272-3144. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doris To can be reached on 571-272-7682. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cgs

July 19, 2006

Chirag Shah Patent Examiner